

SHADOW JUDGING ASSESSMENT SHEET

Name of Candidate:	
Event & Location:	
Date:	
Competition e.g. Novice 2D:	
Number of Horses;	
Judge Educator/Mentor;	
1. Preparation and appropriate presentation and demonstrated commitment to the process:	
2. Ranking:	
a) Placing:	
b) Appropriate assessment and Standard:	
c) Spread of Scores:	
-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	

a) Correct Usage: b) Spread of marks over the entire competition i.e. highest to lowest score: 4. Use of remarks: a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
4. Use of remarks: a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	a) Correct Usage:
4. Use of remarks: a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
4. Use of remarks: a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	b) Spread of marks over the entire competition i.e. highest to lowest score:
a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale: b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
b) Correlation between marks and remarks: c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	4. Use of remarks:
c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	a) Correctness of marks to the Training Scale:
c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
c) Correct use of technical language: 5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	b) Correlation between marks and remarks:
5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
5. Further Remarks: Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	c) Correct use of technical language:
Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	5. Further Remarks:
Assessment (Pse circle): Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	
Very Good Good Satisfactory Not Yet Competent Candidate Signature:	Assessment (Pse circle):
Candidate Signature:	
	very dood dood Satisfactory Not ret competent
ludge Educator/Mentor Signature:	Candidate Signature:
Judge Educator/ Michtel Digitature.	Judge Educator/Mentor Signature:

3. Use of marks:

Guidelines for Shadow Judging

Task of the Candidate:

- The candidate should arrange for their shadow judging with an appropriate judge (See Rule Book Section 9) The candidate should contact the OC at least 4 weeks before an event and inform them about their wish to shadow-judge. If the OC agrees, the candidate will contact the judge.
- It is the duty of the candidate to contact the OC again shortly before the event and ask for all details concerning his Shadow Judging (timetable etc...).
- The candidate is required to provide their own test sheets and writer.
- If the candidate is from interstate it is up to the candidate to negotiate with the OC for the provisions of a table, chair or car and a writer if they are unable to provide their own.

Task of the OC:

- The candidate and the judge should preferably sit at the same position.
- The event's scoring office should, where possible, add up the points of the candidate, and copy the sheets of the judge before they are handed out to the riders for the later assessment of the candidate.

Task of the Assessing Judge:

The candidate should be asked by the assessing judge before they start judging the class to give remarks to every mark of 6 and below, if possible also to higher marks. That gives the judge the opportunity to check their knowledge of riding- and judging principles. Short final sentences summarising the most important issues should also be asked for.

The judge has to assess the candidate according to the Assessment Sheet:

- 1. The judge checks how the candidate has organised his Shadow Judging, e.g. his contact with OC beforehand, his instructions to the writer, his knowledge of the test
- 2. After the competition the judge goes through the results of the candidate (without them), looking at their results according to the following criteria:
 - ➤ their ranking of the competitors, difference in % between the placed riders
 - their level of percentage compared to the assessing judge
 - their spread of the final scores
- 3. The assessing judge looks thoroughly and in detail through the marks by comparing the sheets.
 - the judge looks at the correct usage of the marks by comparing them movement by movement, 1 point difference allowed, 2 points difference to be marked in red
 - the judge looks at the spread of the marks over the competition and whether the candidate has used the whole range of marks
- 5. The assessing judge MJ looks at the remarks
 - the correctness of the remarks according to the training scale
 - > the correlation between marks and remarks
 - the correct usage of the technical language
- 6. After having gone through the sheets the judge discusses the outcome with the candidate and the differences that have occurred. The judge gives the candidate the opportunity to explain their marks and thereby checks their knowledge on the principles of riding and judging.
- 7. After the discussion the judge should inform the candidate about the outcome of the Shadow Judging, emphasise the candidate's strong and weak points, and give the candidate further recommendations on what still needs to be worked on.

IMPORTANT: TIMING:

Ideally this is done at the event, but if there is not enough time to do this job thoroughly a preliminary assessment and discussion can take place and then the assessing judge may take the sheets with them for a more thorough analyses and send the completed Shadow Judging Assessment Sheet back to the candidate within two weeks.